



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/986,248

11/08/2001

William Russell Belknap

SVL920010059US

5036

23373 7590 07/16/2007  
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.  
SUITE 800  
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

BONSHOCK, DENNIS G

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2173

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/16/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/986,248

Applicant(s)

BELKNAP ET AL.

Examiner

Dennis G. Bonshock

Art Unit

2173

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 April 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 6-10, 13-15, 18-23, 25-29, 31, 32 and 34-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 6-10, 13-15, 18-23, 25-29, 31-32, and 34-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

***Non-Final Rejection***

***Response to Amendment***

1. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed on record in the file: Amendment as received on 4-23-2007.

2. Claims 1-33 have been examined.

**Status of Claims:**

3. Claims 1-3, 13-15, 25, 26, and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halpern et al., patent #6,282,711, hereinafter Halpern and applicants admitted prior art in the "Background of the Invention" section pages 1-4, hereinafter AAPA.

4. Claims 6-10, 18-23, 27-29, 31, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halpern, Feinman, patent #6,075,943, and applicants admitted prior art in the "Background of the Invention" section pages 1-4, hereinafter AAPA.

5. Claims 4, 5, 11, 12, 16, 17, 24, 30, and 33 have been cancelled by the applicant.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 6, 7, 18, 19, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in

Art Unit: 2173

the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Specifically the specification does not provide support for the negative limitation of "the predetermined order is not dictated by order of receipt of objects", though support is provided for the order not necessarily being the order they are received from the server.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3, 13-15, 25, 26, and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halpern et al., patent #6,282,711, hereinafter Halpern and applicants admitted prior art in the "Background of the Invention" section pages 1-4, hereinafter AAPA.

3. With regard to claim 1, which teaches a method of requesting and processing a plurality of objects from a server, comprising: receiving a response message from the server, the response message containing the plurality of objects packed into the response message, Halpern teaches, in column 3, line 61 through column 4, line 5 and column 6, lines 1-28, the client receiving a package from the server containing the plurality of selected objects. With regard to claim 1, further teaching automatically unpacking the plurality of objects contained in the response message for display on the

web page., Halpern teaches, in column 6, lines 44-64, in column 4, lines 14-19, and column 4, line 66 through column 5, line 5, an automatic unpacking of objects, that doesn't require user interaction, where the process takes place over a network, through a user interface, for display on a web browser.

Halpern teaches requests sent to the server for a plurality of objects (see column 3, lines 16-38 and column 5, lines 5-51), he however doesn't specifically specify if the requests are sent individually for each object or as packed request. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern to provide the user with the option of sending the request to the server as either a single package or as a plurality of packages, similar to how Halpern offers the transfer of data between the server and the client (see column 3, line 61 through column 4, line 9 and in column 6, lines 17-28). One would have been motivated to make such a combination because in the bi-directional transfer system of Halpern, it would be beneficial, in terms of time saved in the case of lost objects, to provide the same optional packeting of objects in the client to server transfer.

With regard to claim 1, further teaching requesting a plurality of objects from the server, Halpern teaches, in column 3, lines 16-38 and in column 5, lines 5-51, a user selecting a plurality of objects from a server, but doesn't specifically teach the specifics of the searching. With regard to claim 1, further teaching searching in a data network for an information element based upon a search criteria, AAPA teaches a communication of requests and responses between a client and a server through the user of a browser (see column 3, lines 1-15), similar to that of Halpern (see column 4,

line 54 through column 5, line 5), but further specifies, on page 3, lines 1-4, searching in a network for occurrences of various types of information including text graphics, etc.

With regard to claim 1, further teaching receiving from at least one server search results displayable on a web page comprising a list identifying occurrences of the information element, AAPA teaches, on page 3, lines 4-9, a server upon receiving a search request returning to a client a webpage including results of the search, including a list of text items or graphical images describing the search hits. With regard to claim 1, further teaching wherein at least some of said occurrences of the information element identify objects; generating for each identified object, a request to at least one server for obtaining respective objects, AAPA teaches, on page 3, lines 10-15, some of the returned search results being graphical thumbnail images representing files (objects), where the browser then requests the objects. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern and AAPA to combine the packaging of data objects, of Halpern with the search system of AAPA. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because packaging the requests for objects into a one or more packaged requests (see column 3, lines 62-66), as is done in Halpern, provides an efficient means for transmitting large amount of data in combined streams.

4. With regard to claims 2, 14 and 26, which teach decompressing the plurality of unpacked objects, Halpern teaches, in column 6, lines 44-64, the automatic decompression of the transferred objects.

Art Unit: 2173

5. With regard to claims 3 and 15, which teach decompressing the plurality of unpacked objects automatically in response to receiving the response message, Halpern teaches, in column 6, lines 44-64, the automatic decompression of the transferred objects.

6. With regard to claim 13, which teaches a client processor, comprising: a communications module configured for receiving a response message from the server, the response message containing the plurality of objects packed into the response message, Halpern teaches, in column 3, line 61 through column 4, line 5 and column 6, lines 1-28, the client receiving a package from the server containing the plurality of selected objects. With regard to claim 13, further teaching automatically unpacking the plurality of objects contained in the response message, Halpern teaches, in column 6, lines 44-64, and in column 4, lines 14-19, an automatic unpacking of objects that doesn't require user interaction. With regard to claim 13, further teaching a browser coupled to the unpacking module, configured to present the plurality of unpacked objects to a user, Halpern further teaches, in column 4, line 54 through column 5, line 5, providing a display of the transfer system through the use of a browser.

Halpern teaches requests sent to the server for a plurality of objects (see column 3, lines 16-38 and column 5, lines 5-51), he however doesn't specifically specify if the requests are sent individually for each object or as packed request. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern to provide the user with the option of sending the request to the server as package of a plurality of packages, similar to how Halpern offers the transfer of data between the server and the

Art Unit: 2173

client (see column 3, line 61 through column 4, line 9 and in column 6, lines 17-28).

One would have been motivated to make such a combination because in the bi-directional transfer system of Halpern, it would be beneficial, in terms of time saved in the case of lost objects, to provide the same optional packeting of objects in the client to server transfer.

With regard to claim 13, further teaching the plurality of objects being occurrences of an information element provided as a search criteria in a data network, Halpern teaches, in column 3, lines 16-38 and in column 5, lines 5-51, a user selecting a plurality of objects from a server, but doesn't specifically teach the specifics of the searching. AAPA teaches a communication of requests and responses between a client and a server through the user of a browser (see column 3, lines 1-15), similar to that of Halpern (see column 4, line 54 through column 5, line 5), but further specifies, on page 3, lines 2-4, 7-10, and 13-15, searching, in a server network, for occurrences of various types of information, and displaying in the web page in response to the search request, resulting thumbnail images (objects) selectable to obtain the actual file.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern and AAPA to combine the packager of data objects, of Halpern with the search system of AAPA. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because packaging the requests for objects into a one or more packaged requests (see column 3, lines 62-66), as is done in Halpern, provides an efficient means for transmitting large amount of data in combined streams, such as in the request of a plurality items from a server, and the providing of results from the request.



Art Unit: 2173

7. With regard to claim 25, which teaches a computer readable medium for requesting and processing a plurality of objects from a server, comprising: program instructions for requesting a plurality of objects from the server, Halpern teaches, in column 3, lines 16-38 and in column 5, lines 5-51, a user selecting a plurality of objects from a server. With regard to claim 25, further teaching program instructions for receiving a response message from the server, the response message containing the plurality of objects packed into the response message, Halpern teaches, in column 3, line 61 through column 4, line 5 and column 6, lines 1-28, the client receiving a package from the server containing the plurality of selected objects. With regard to claim 25, further teaching program instructions for automatically unpacking the plurality of objects contained in the response message, Halpern teaches, in column 6, lines 44-64, and in column 4, lines 14-19, an automatic unpacking of objects that doesn't require user interaction.

Halpern teaches requests sent to the server for a plurality of objects (see column 3, lines 16-38 and column 5, lines 5-51), he however doesn't specifically specify if the requests are sent individually for each object or as packed request. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern to provide the user with the option of sending the request to the server as either a single package or as a plurality of packages, similar to how Halpern offers the transfer of data between the server and the client (see column 3, line 61 through column 4, line 9 and in column 6, lines 17-28). One would have been motivated to make such a combination because in the bi-directional transfer system of Halpern, it would be beneficial, in terms of time

Art Unit: 2173

saved in the case of lost objects, to provide the same optional packeting of objects in the client to server transfer.

With regard to claim 25, further teaching requesting a plurality of objects from the server, Halpern teaches, in column 3, lines 16-38 and in column 5, lines 5-51, a user selecting a plurality of objects from a server, but doesn't specifically teach the specifics of the searching. With regard to claim 25, further teaching program instructions for searching in a data network for an information element based upon a search criteria, AAPA teaches a communication of requests and responses between a client and a server through the user of a browser (see column 3, lines 1-15), similar to that of Halpern (see column 4, line 54 through column 5, line 5), but further specifies, on page 3, lines 1-4, searching in a network for occurrences of various types of information including text graphics, etc. With regard to claim 25, further teaching program instructions for receiving from at least one server search results displayable on a web page comprising a list identifying occurrences of the information element, AAPA teaches, on page 3, lines 4-9, a server upon receiving a search request returning to a client a webpage including results of the search, including a list of text items or graphical images describing the search hits. With regard to claim 25, further teaching wherein at least some of said occurrences of the information element identify objects; program instructions for generating for each identified object, a request to at least one server for obtaining respective objects, AAPA teaches, on page 3, lines 10-15, some of the returned search results being graphical thumbnail images representing files (objects), where the browser then requests the objects. It would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2173

one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern and AAPA to combine the packager of data objects, of Halpern with the search system of AAPA. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because packaging the requests for objects into a one or more packaged requests (see column 3, lines 62-66), as is done in Halpern, provides an efficient means for transmitting large amount of data in combined streams.

8. With regard to claim 34, which teaches the server and a client communicate with each via an HTTP module, wherein the client comprises a browser for receiving the search criteria and for outputting the search results on the web page and wherein the plurality of objects are image objects, Halpern teaches, in column 4, line 54 through column 5, line 5, communication between a client and a server, through the user of a web browser, but doesn't specifically specify using HTTP. AAPA teaches a communication of requests and responses between a client and a server through the user of a browser (for transmitting search requests and providing results, see *supra*) (see column 3, lines 1-15), similar to that of Halpern (see column 4, line 54 through column 5, line 5), but further specifies that the server contains a HTTP module and communication being through a HTTP protocol (see page 2, lines 11-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern and AAPA before him at the time the invention was made to use HTTP in the communication between the client and server on Halpern. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because HTTP (hypertext transfer protocol) is

Art Unit: 2173

known to be a standard protocol for efficient transfer of requests from a browser to a web server and from web servers back to the client.

9. With regard to claim 35, which teaches the browser is a web browser and the plurality of objects are images, wherein a plug-in module that operates with the web browser is provided in a client and wherein the plug-in module automatically unpacking the plurality of image objects contained in the response message, and provides the unpacked image objects to the browser for display on the web page, Halpern teaches, in column 1, lines 33-41, column 4, lines 14-18, and in column 4, line 54 through column 5, line 5, the use of a web browser, at a client, for unpacking a plurality of packets without further user interaction, through the use of an installer executable (see column 6, lines 14-16), where results are then provided for display on the browser (see AAPA supra).

10. With regard to claim 36, which teaches a method of requesting and processing a plurality of objects from a server, comprising: receiving a response message from the server, the response message containing the plurality of objects packed into the response message, Halpern teaches, in column 3, line 61 through column 4, line 5 and column 6, lines 1-28, the client receiving a package from the server containing the plurality of selected objects. With regard to claim 36, further teaching automatically unpacking the plurality of objects contained in the response message, Halpern teaches, in column 6, lines 44-64, in column 4, lines 14-19, and column 4, line 66 through column 5, line 5, an automatic unpacking of objects, that doesn't require user interaction, where

Art Unit: 2173

the process takes place over a network, through a user interface, for display on a web browser.

Halpern teaches requests sent to the server for a plurality of objects (see column 3, lines 16-38 and column 5, lines 5-51), he however doesn't specifically specify if the requests are sent individually for each object or as packed request. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern to provide the user with the option of sending the request to the server as either a single package or as a plurality of packages, similar to how Halpern offers the transfer of data between the server and the client (see column 3, line 61 through column 4, line 9 and in column 6, lines 17-28). One would have been motivated to make such a combination because in the bi-directional transfer system of Halpern, it would be beneficial, in terms of time saved in the case of lost objects, to provide the same optional packeting of objects in the client to server transfer.

With regard to claim 36, further teaching requesting a plurality of objects from the server, Halpern teaches, in column 3, lines 16-38 and in column 5, lines 5-51, a user selecting a plurality of objects from a server, but doesn't specifically teach the specifics of the searching. AAPA teaches a communication of requests and responses between a client and a server through the user of a browser (see column 3, lines 1-15), similar to that of Halpern (see column 4, line 54 through column 5, line 5), but further specifies, on page 3, lines 1-4, searching in a network for occurrences of various types of information including text graphics, etc. With regard to claim 36, further teaching receiving from at least one server search results displayable on a web page comprising a list identifying

Art Unit: 2173

occurrences of the information element, AAPA teaches, on page 3, lines 1-4, searching in a network for occurrences of various types of information including text graphics, etc., and further teaches, on page 3, lines 4-9, a server upon receiving a search request returning to a client a webpage including results of the search, including a list of text items or graphical images describing the search hits. With regard to claim 36, further teaching wherein at least some of said occurrences of the information element identify objects; generating for each identified object, a request to at least one server for obtaining respective objects, AAPA teaches, on page 3, lines 10-15, some of the returned search results being graphical thumbnail images representing files (objects), where the browser then requests the objects. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern and AAPA to combine the packaging of data objects, of Halpern with the search system of AAPA. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because packaging the requests for objects into a one or more packaged requests (see column 3, lines 62-66), as is done in Halpern, provides an efficient means for transmitting large amount of data in combined streams.

11. Claims 6-10, 18-23, 27-29, 31, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halpern, Feinman, patent #6,075,943, and applicants admitted prior art in the "Background of the Invention" section pages 1-4, hereinafter AAPA.

12. With regard to claims 6, 7, 18, 19, and 27, Halpern and AAPA teach a system for the transfer of multiple objects between a server and a client and outputting a plurality of

Art Unit: 2173

unpacked objects for display on a browser of the client (see column 6, lines 1-67 of Halpern and page 3, lines 5-15 of AAPA), but doesn't specifically teach outputting the plurality of objects (for display) in an order indicated in the response message, wherein the predetermined order is not dictated by order of receipt of the objects. Feinman teaches a system for packaging up one or more applications for transfer between a server and a client (see column 2, lines 34-45) similar to that of Halpern and AAPA, but further teaches, in column 3, line 43 through column 4, line 12, the outputting of applications having a certain order, as indicated by the server, where the order is based upon a date and time associated with the elements. When used in combination with the unpacking at the client workstation, of Halpern (see column 6, lines 44-64), the whole package of elements would be downloaded to the client where the client would then use the time and date information to organize and display the files (such as an enhancement included in the download, as taught by Halpern). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern, AAPA, and Feinman to include an ordering of objects, as did Feinman in a download in the object transfer system of Halpern and AAPA. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because this would provide an efficient means for allowing the server to dictate the order in which objects must be presented.

13. With regard to claim 8, which teaches a method of transferring a plurality of objects from a server to a client comprising: receiving a request from the client for the plurality of objects, Halpern teaches, in column 6, lines 1-5, the request for a plurality of objects. With regard to claim 8, further teaching retrieving the plurality of requested

Art Unit: 2173

objects from one or more object stores, Halpern teaches, in column 5, lines 49-55 and in column 6, lines 1-5, the server retrieving the requested objects from a component pool. With regard to claim 8, further teaching automatically packing the retrieved plurality of objects into a response message, Halpern teaches, in column 5, lines 49-55 and in column 6, lines 1-15, the server retrieving the requested objects from a component pool and forms a customized non-binging set of files. With regard to claim 8, further teaching transmitting the response message to the client, Halpern teaches, in column 6, lines 17-19, the executable prepared by the packager being transmitted over a network to the client.

Halpern teaches requests sent to the server for a plurality of objects (see column 3, lines 16-38 and column 5, lines 5-51), he however doesn't specifically specify if the requests are sent individually for each object or as packed request. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern to provide the user with the option of sending the request to the server as package of a plurality of objects, similar to how Halpern offers the transfer of data between the server and the client (see column 3, line 61 through column 4, line 9 and in column 6, lines 17-28). One would have been motivated to make such a combination because in the bi-directional transfer system of Halpern, it would be beneficial, in terms of time saved in the case of lost objects, to provide the same optional packeting of objects in the client to server transfer.

Halpern teaches a system for the transfer of multiple objects between a server and a client and outputting a plurality of unpacked objects (see column 6, lines 1-67),



Art Unit: 2173

but doesn't specifically teach the response message including an indicator of the order in which the packed objects are to be displayed. Feinman teaches a system for packaging up one or more applications for transfer between a server and a client (see column 2, lines 34-45) similar to that of Halpern, but further teaches, in column 3, line 43 through column 4, line 12, the outputting of applications providing an indication of a certain order, as indicated by the server. The compression and decompression of the files done by compression and decompression programs (column 3, lines 7-43), where the automatic installations system builds a command for the remote submission, the command (indication) containing the name of the appropriate decompression program to run (which specifies the order to present data) (see column 5, lines 49-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern and Feinman to include an ordering of objects, as did Feinman in the object transfer system of Halpern. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because this would provide an efficient means for allowing the server to dictate the order in which objects must be presented.

With regard to claim 8, further teaching the plurality of objects being occurrences of an information element provided as a search criteria in a data network, Halpern and Feinman teach, in column 3, lines 16-38 and in column 5, lines 5-51 (of Halpern), a user selecting a plurality of objects from a server, but doesn't specifically teach the specifics of the searching. AAPA teaches a communication of requests and responses between a client and a server through the user of a browser (see column 3, lines 1-15), similar to that of Halpern (see column 4, line 54 through column 5, line 5), but further specifies, on

Art Unit: 2173

page 3, lines 2-4, 7-10, and 13-15, searching, in a server network, for occurrences of various types of information, and displaying in the web page in response to the search text and/or thumbnail images (objects), representing the actual file. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern, Feinman, and AAPA to combine the packager of data objects, of Halpern and Feinman with the search system of AAPA. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because packaging the requests for objects into a one or more packaged requests (see column 3, lines 62-66), as is done in Halpern, provides an efficient means for transmitting large amount of data in combined streams.

14. With regard to claims 9, 21, and 29, which teach automatically compressing the retrieved plurality of requested objects prior to packing the objects into the response message, Halpern teaches, in column 3, line 61 through column 4, line 5, the step of compressing and packaging the files together before transfer.

15. With regard to claims 10 and 22, which teaches automatically compressing the response message prior to transmitting the response message to the client, Halpern teaches, in column 3, line 61 through column 4, line 5, the step of compressing and packaging the files together before transfer.

16. With regard to claim 20, which teaches a server processor comprising: a module configured to receiving a request from the client for the plurality of objects, Halpern teaches, in column 6, lines 1-5, the request for a plurality of objects. With regard to claim 20, further teaching a processor configured for unpacking the plurality of requested objects, Halpern teaches, in column 3, lines 28-34 and column 5, lines 49-55,

Art Unit: 2173

processing configured to unpack the plurality of requested elements. With regard to claim 20, further teaching a module configured to automatically packing the retrieved plurality of objects into a response message, Halpern teaches, in column 5, lines 49-55 and in column 6, lines 1-15, the server retrieving the requested objects from a component pool and forms a customized non-binging set of files. With regard to claim 20, further teaching a module configured to transmit the response message to the client, Halpern teaches, in column 6, lines 17-19, the executable prepared by the packager being transmitted over a network to the client.

Halpern teaches requests sent to the server for a plurality of objects (see column 3, lines 16-38 and column 5, lines 5-51), he however doesn't specifically specify if the requests are sent individually for each object or as packed request. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern to provide the user with the option of sending the request to the server as package of a plurality of objects, similar to how Halpern offers the transfer of data between the server and the client (see column 3, line 61 through column 4, line 9 and in column 6, lines 17-28). One would have been motivated to make such a combination because in the bi-directional transfer system of Halpern, it would be beneficial, in terms of time saved in the case of lost objects, to provide the same optional packeting of objects in the client to server transfer.

Halpern teaches a system for the transfer of multiple objects between a server and a client and outputting a plurality of unpacked objects (see column 6, lines 1-67), but doesn't specifically teach the response message including an indicator of the order

Art Unit: 2173

in which the packed objects are to be presented. Feinman teaches a system for packaging up one or more applications for transfer between a server and a client (see column 2, lines 34-45) similar to that of Halpern, but further teaches, in column 3, line 43 through column 4, line 12, the outputting of applications providing an indication of a certain order, as indicated by the server. The compression and decompression of the files done by compression and decompression programs (column 3, lines 7-43), where the automatic installations system builds a command for the remote submission, the command (indication) containing the name of the appropriate decompression program to run (which specifies the order to present data) (see column 5, lines 49-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern and Feinman to include an ordering of objects, as did Feinman in the object transfer system of Halpern. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because this would provide an efficient means for allowing the server to dictate the order in which objects must be presented.

With regard to claim 20, further teaching the plurality of objects being occurrences of an information element provided as a search criteria in a data network, Halpern and Feinman teach, in column 3, lines 16-38 and in column 5, lines 5-51 (of Halpern), a user selecting a plurality of objects from a server, but doesn't specifically teach the specifics of the searching. AAPA teaches a communication of requests and responses between a client and a server through the user of a browser (see column 3, lines 1-15), similar to that of Halpern and Feinman (see column 4, line 54 through column 5, line 5 of Halpern), but further specifies, on page 3, lines 2-4, 7-10, and 13-15,

Art Unit: 2173

searching, in a server network, for occurrences of various types of information, and displaying in the web page in response to the search text and/or thumbnail images (objects), representing the actual file. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern, Feinman, and AAPA to combine the packager of data objects, of Halpern and Feinman with the search system of AAPA. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because packaging the requests for objects into a one or more packaged requests (see column 3, lines 62-66), as is done in Halpern, provides an efficient means for transmitting large amount of data in combined streams.

17. With regard to claims 23 and 32, Halpern and AAPA teach a system for the transfer of multiple objects between a server and a client and outputting a plurality of unpacked objects (see column 6, lines 1-67 of Halpern), but doesn't specifically teach the retrieved objects being packed into the response message in a designated order. Feinman teaches a system for packaging up one or more applications for transfer between a server and a client (see column 2, lines 34-45) similar to that of Halpern and AAPA, but further teaches, in column 3, line 43 through column 4, line 12, the outputting of applications having a certain order, as indicated by the server. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern, AAPA, and Feinman to include an ordering of objects, as did Feinman in the object transfer system of Halpern and AAPA. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because this would provide an efficient means for allowing the server to dictate the order in which objects must be presented.

Art Unit: 2173

18. With regard to claim 28, which teaches a method of transferring a plurality of objects from a server to a client comprising: program instructions for receiving a request from the client for the plurality of objects, Halpern teaches, in column 6, lines 1-5, the request for a plurality of objects. With regard to claim 28, further teaching program instructions for retrieving the plurality of requested objects from one or more object stores, Halpern teaches, in column 5, lines 49-55 and in column 6, lines 1-5, the server retrieving the requested objects from a component pool. With regard to claim 28, further teaching program instructions for automatically packing the retrieved plurality of objects into a response message, Halpern teaches, in column 5, lines 49-55 and in column 6, lines 1-15, the server retrieving the requested objects from a component pool and forms a customized non-binging set of files. With regard to claim 28, further teaching program instructions for transmitting the response message to the client, Halpern teaches, in column 6, lines 17-19, the executable prepared by the packager being transmitted over a network to the client.

Halpern teaches requests sent to the server for a plurality of objects (see column 3, lines 16-38 and column 5, lines 5-51), he however doesn't specifically specify if the requests are sent individually for each object or as packed request. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern to provide the user with the option of sending the request to the server as package of a plurality of objects, similar to how Halpern offers the transfer of data between the server and the client (see column 3, line 61 through column 4, line 9 and in column 6, lines 17-28). One would have been motivated to make such a combination because in the bi-

directional transfer system of Halpern, it would be beneficial, in terms of time saved in the case of lost objects, to provide the same optional packeting of objects in the client to server transfer.

Halpern teaches a system for the transfer of multiple objects between a server and a client and outputting a plurality of unpacked objects (see column 6, lines 1-67), but doesn't specifically teach the response message including an indicator of the order in which the packed objects are to be presented. Feinman teaches a system for packaging up one or more applications for transfer between a server and a client (see column 2, lines 34-45) similar to that of Halpern, but further teaches, in column 3, line 43 through column 4, line 12, the outputting of applications providing an indication of a certain order, as indicated by the server. The compression and decompression of the files done by compression and decompression programs (column 3, lines 7-43), where the automatic installations system builds a command for the remote submission, the command (indication) containing the name of the appropriate decompression program to run (which specifies the order to present data) (see column 5, lines 49-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern and Feinman to include an ordering of objects, as did Feinman in the object transfer system of Halpern. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because this would provide an efficient means for allowing the server to dictate the order in which objects must be presented.

With regard to claim 28, further teaching the plurality of objects being displayable on the web page a search results and are occurrences of an information element

Art Unit: 2173

provided as a search criteria in a data network, Halpern and Feinman teach, in column 3, lines 16-38 and in column 5, lines 5-51 (of Halpern), a user selecting a plurality of objects from a server, but doesn't specifically teach the specifics of the searching.

AAPA teaches a communication of requests and responses between a client and a server through the user of a browser (see column 3, lines 1-15), similar to that of Halpern and Feinman (see column 4, line 54 through column 5, line 5 of Halpern), but further specifies, on page 3, lines 2-4, 7-10, and 13-15, searching, in a server network, for occurrences of various types of information, and displaying in the web page in response to the search text and/or thumbnail images (objects), representing the actual file. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern, Feinman, and AAPA to combine the packager of data objects, of Halpern and Feinman with the search system of AAPA. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because packaging the requests for objects into a one or more packaged requests (see column 3, lines 62-66), as is done in Halpern, provides an efficient means for transmitting large amount of data in combined streams.

19. With regard to claim 31, which teaches a method of transferring a plurality of objects from a server to a client comprising: receiving a request from the client for the plurality of objects, Halpern teaches, in column 6, lines 1-5, the request for a plurality of objects. With regard to claim 31, further teaching retrieving the plurality of requested objects from an object stores, Halpern teaches, in column 5, lines 49-55 and in column 6, lines 1-5, the server retrieving the requested objects from a component pool. With regard to claim 31, further teaching packing the retrieved plurality of objects into a



Art Unit: 2173

response message, Halpern teaches, in column 5, lines 49-55 and in column 6, lines 1-15, the server retrieving the requested objects from a component pool and forms a customized non-binging set of files. With regard to claim 31, further teaching transmitting the response message to the client, Halpern teaches, in column 6, lines 17-19, the executable prepared by the packager being transmitted over a network to the client.

Halpern teaches requests sent to the server for a plurality of objects (see column 3, lines 16-38 and column 5, lines 5-51), he however doesn't specifically specify if the requests are sent individually for each object or as packed request. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern to provide the user with the option of sending the request to the server as package of a plurality of objects, similar to how Halpern offers the transfer of data between the server and the client (see column 3, line 61 through column 4, line 9 and in column 6, lines 17-28). One would have been motivated to make such a combination because in the bi-directional transfer system of Halpern, it would be beneficial, in terms of time saved in the case of lost objects, to provide the same optional packeting of objects in the client to server transfer.

Halpern teaches a system for the transfer of multiple objects between a server and a client and outputting a plurality of unpacked objects (see column 6, lines 1-67), but doesn't specifically teach the response message including an indicator of the order in which the packed objects are to be presented. Feinman teaches a system for packaging up one or more applications for transfer between a server and a client (see

Art Unit: 2173

column 2, lines 34-45) similar to that of Halpern, but further teaches, in column 3, line 43 through column 4, line 12, the outputting of applications providing an indication of a certain order, as indicated by the server. The compression and decompression of the files done by compression and decompression programs (column 3, lines 7-43), where the automatic installations system builds a command for the remote submission, the command (indication) containing the name of the appropriate decompression program to run (which specifies the order to present data) (see column 5, lines 49-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern and Feinman to include an ordering of objects, as did Feinman in the object transfer system of Halpern. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because this would provide an efficient means for allowing the server to dictate the order in which objects must be presented.

With regard to claim 31, further teaching the plurality of objects being displayable on the web page a search results and are occurrences of an information element provided as a search criteria in a data network, Halpern and Feinman teach, in column 3, lines 16-38 and in column 5, lines 5-51 (of Halpern), a user selecting a plurality of objects from a server, but doesn't specifically teach the specifics of the searching. AAPA teaches a communication of requests and responses between a client and a server through the user of a browser (see column 3, lines 1-15), similar to that of Halpern and Feinman (see column 4, line 54 through column 5, line 5 of Halpern), but further specifies, on page 3, lines 2-4, 7-10, and 13-15, searching, in a server network, for occurrences of various types of information, and displaying in the web page in

Art Unit: 2173

response to the search text and/or thumbnail images (objects), representing the actual file. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Halpern, Feinman, and AAPA to combine the packager of data objects, of Halpern and Feinman with the search system of AAPA. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because packaging the requests for objects into a one or more packaged requests (see column 3, lines 62-66), as is done in Halpern, provides an efficient means for transmitting large amount of data in combined streams.

### ***Response to Arguments***

20. The arguments filed on 4-23-2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Reasons set forth below.

21. The applicants' argue that the prior art of record does not disclose or even remotely suggest "searching in a data network for an information element based upon a search criteria; receiving from at least one web server search results displayable on a web page comprising a list identifying occurrences of the information element..."

22. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 8, 13, 20, 25, 28, and 31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

23. In response, the examiner respectfully submits that the Applicant admitted prior art in the background section (AAPA) teaches a communication of requests and responses between a client and a server through the user of a browser (see column 3, lines 1-15), similar to that of Halpern (see column 4, line 54 through column 5, line 5), but further specifies, on page 3, lines 1-4, searching in a network for occurrences of

Art Unit: 2173

various types of information including text graphics, etc. AAPA further teaches, on page 3, lines 4-9, a server upon receiving a search request returning to a client a webpage including results of the search, including a list of text items or graphical images describing the search hits. AAPA further teaches, on page 3, lines 10-15, some of the returned search results being graphical thumbnail images representing files (objects), where the browser then requests the objects.

### ***Conclusion***

24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis G. Bonshock whose telephone number is (571) 272-4047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 6:30 a.m. - 4:00 p.m.

25. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (571) 272-4048. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2173

26. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

6-28-07  
dgb

6-28-07